From: Chris Rowe

To: <u>Craig Cooper/R9/USEPA/US@EPA</u>

Subject: Re: TASC

**Date:** 07/25/2011 01:57 PM

## Craig,

You and I had that discussion. You follow CERCLA I believe. I think you have your bases covered with your field monitors.

I am pleased with how the project has been handled.

I would like to know what the EPA said that was given to the judge for his ruling. How would I get that information?

Thanks!

Chris

On Mon, Jul 25, 2011 at 12:16 PM, Craig Cooper < <a href="mailto:cooper.craig@epamail.epa.gov">cooper.Craig@epamail.epa.gov</a>>

Hi Chris - EPA is making a presentation at the next PPG meeting....which is this week; but I will not be in attendance. The August 17th Tech Stakeholder Meeting will be my last meeting with SSFL Stakeholders. With respect to TASC, I forwarded your email to David Cooper. Craig

p.s. Regarding your emails about the DOE EIS. Thanks for your clarification that you dont think that EPA should do an EIS. Whew! :)

Craig Cooper Superfund Project Manager U.S. EPA Region 9 (415) 947-4148 (ph) (415) 947-3520 (fax)

-----Chris Rowe < <a href="mailto:com">crwhnc@gmail.com</a>> wrote: -----

To: Craig Cooper/R9/USEPA/US@EPA, Nicole Moutoux/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

From: Chris Rowe < <a href="mailto:crwhnc@gmail.com">crwhnc@gmail.com</a>>

Date: 07/17/2011 06:49PM

Subject: TASC

Dear Craig,

After reading some comments from Abe, I can't think of a better time to bring in EPA TASC than related to the Background Study and the statistical method that Abe is now questioning.

This is the exact time that we need an independent consultant - one that will not necessarily agree with DOE or EPA - one truly independent.

That is why we need TASC. I plan to submit a new formal request.

The EPA had told me a while back that they may be able to bring in TASC in conjunction with DTSC and the PPG. We could have used that.

Are you going to be at the next PPG meeting? Are you doing a presentation?
Thanks! Chris
Chris